


# Designing a Low-Tech, Open-Source Motor Controller for Electric Cargo Bikes

ABESCAT Hugo – ATTAR Karima – JOHNSEN Brage – ORVIK Oskar  
– PAVILLON Julien – REYNIER NOMER Nolan – TABAN Aleksander

# Commercial controllers limit local repair

## Typical commercial e-bike controller



## LaMAD's answer: VESC open-source project

### The VESC project

**Open-source** firmware & hardware for BLDC motor control  
**Widely adopted** — but **not designed** for local fabrication or repairability




Urban cargo bikes need reliable, repairable controllers



Proprietary ICs make field repair nearly impossible



LaMAD builds sustainable, open, community-maintained products



# Open-source controllers lack low-tech and repairability design

What exists
✓ FOC vs Trapezoidal comparative studies (torque ripple, efficiency, cost)
✓ High-performing VESC controllers, well documented
✓ Torque ripple: 18.38% (FOC) vs 35.67% (Six-Step) at 500 RPM [1]
✓ BLE integration for diagnostics and tuning

What is missing
X Low-tech adaptation of open-source controllers
X Local fabrication with standard, off-the-shelf components
X Repairability documented for non-specialist communities
X Bluetooth security for decentralised, community-run fleets

**Research gap: no controller combines open-source firmware, local manufacturability, repairability, and BLE security**

[1] Jomsa-Nga et al., IEEE ISC2, 2024




# Aim: design a low-tech, repairable, open-source BLDC controller

Design, assemble and validate a VESC-based controller for LaMAD cargo bikes — prioritising local manufacturability at INSA Toulouse.

- 01 FOC + Trapezoidal**  
Support both commutation strategies on the VESC firmware
- 02 Positional control**  
Achieve position control with the current hardware setup
- 03 Bluetooth security**  
Identify and patch open BLE access vulnerabilities
- 04 Local fabrication**  
PCB manufacturable at INSA with standard, discrete components

# FOC reduces torque ripple by half compared to Six-Step control



Source: Jomsa-Nga et al., IEEE ISC2, 2024

Criterion	FOC	Six-Step
Torque ripple	~18 %	~36 %
Low-load efficiency	Better	Adequate
High-speed switching loss	Higher	Lower
Implementation complexity	High	Simple
Hardware cost	Higher	Lower
Dynamic response	Fast	Standard

VESC implementation: adapting firmware for both modes · Testing on real BLDC motor · Comparing performance, ripple, and power draw

# Open BLE access poses an unauthorized control risk



## Bluetooth Security

### Vulnerability identified

VESC standard firmware exposes Bluetooth without authentication.

### Risks

Unauthorized motor control and remote parameter modification.

### Proposed solution

Local key or offline mode — disabling or restricting BLE.

### Test protocol

BLE scan, unauthenticated connection attempt, patch validation.

## Repairability

### Discrete-component PCB

No proprietary ICs — each component replaceable individually.

### Standard housings


Off-the-shelf connectors, fully open-source documentation.

### Open schematics & BOM

Gerber files and bill of materials published for community reproduction.

### LaMAD repair tests

Repair procedures validated by LaMAD's non-specialist team.



# A low-tech, repairable, open-source controller is achievable



## Preliminary results

✓ Adapted VESC controller drives target motor in both FOC and Trapezoidal modes

✓ Positional control achieved with current hardware configuration

✓ Open Bluetooth access identified as a security vulnerability

## Future work

Real-world testing on LaMAD cargo bikes

Publishing PCB design and firmware

Adding simple Bluetooth authentication

Training LaMAD teams in repair procedures

**Open-source, low-tech, locally repairable motor control is possible and community-ready.**



# Questions ?

*Thank you for your attention*

